

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/727,782	12/04/2003	Timothy C. Ostwald	03-045-TAP (STK 03045 PUS	`	
51344	7590 09/06/2006		EXAMINER		
	USHMAN P.C. / STK CENTER, TWENTY-SEC	TUPPER, ROBERT S			
SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075-1238			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2627		
			DATE MAILED: 09/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)		
Office Assistant Survey	10/727,782	OSTWALD ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert S. Tupper	2627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D. (35 U.S.C. & 133)	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 Ju</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> .	action is non-final.		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-21 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed applicant may not request that any objection to the orange are subjected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the orange are subjected to by the Examiner are subjected to be subjec	election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be the drawing(s) is objected to be determined if the drawing(s) is objected to be determined to the determin	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl	
	armier. Note the attached emoc	Action of format	10-102.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on Noed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	O-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/727,782 Page 2

Art Unit: 2627

1. Applicant's election without traverse of the invention of Group I, and the species of figures 4-7, stating claims 1-16 to read thereon, in the reply filed on 7/31/06 is acknowledged.

- 2. Claims 17-21 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 7/31/06.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Applicant has only described the concept of housing the picker in a module that can be separately attached and detached from a storage library.

Applicant has not disclosed the many structural features required to make that concept into an actual operational device.

Art Unit: 2627

For example (and this is not intended to be all encompassing) there is no disclosure of the structural features required to accurately move the picker to, and maintain it at, a selected level to extract a cartridge, or insert an extracted cartridge into a drive, or to extract a cartridge from a drive, or to place a cartridge back into a cell. Clearly very precise control of the exact location of the picker is required to insure that the picker can grab a cartridge without causing damage by hitting some surrounding object. The specification acknowledges that the picker is suspended by cables (for the elected species) and is "free-hanging" (see page 13 lines 11-12). Nothing in the disclosure addresses issues related to this – e.g. the motions generated by movements of the hand assembly, or movement between levels, etc.

The lack of any disclosure of such structural details means that the public will have to unduly experiment to determine these structural details to produce an operational device.

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1 and 10, the recitation that of the robot assembly "being containable within a module/housing" is indefinite. This does not require that the presence of a module/housing or that the robot actually be within the module/housing.

Art Unit: 2627

In claims 1, 5-7, 10, and 13-15, the recitation that the module/housing be "removably mountable" is indefinite. First, as noted above, there is no requirement that a module/housing even be present, Further, there is no recitation of the structural features that provide the removable mounting. This is merely a functional statement of possibility.

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DANG (5,546,366).

Note especially figure 3. DANG shows storage device with a picker (38) that is capable of the possible functions stated in these claims. The picker module is read as the structures shown in figure 3 plus the top and bottom cross frame pieces (not shown). That module is capable of being removably mounted and dismounted. Note that these claims do not recite and structural features for the removable mounting and dismounting to define over DANG.

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claims 4, 6, 12, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DANG (5,546,366).

DANG shows a storage library with picker substantially as claimed.

DANG differs in not: (A) utilizing a "new" robot assembly (re claims 4 and 12), and (B) showing the picker module located at the back of the frame (re claims 6 and 14).

Concerning (A), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a "new" robot assembly. The motivation is as follows: it is well known and commonly done to replace one mechanical part with a "new" part for maintenance or repair.

Concerning (B), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to locate the picker module at the back. The motivation is as follows: front and back would be art recognized equivalents that operate in the same manner and produce the same results without any unexpected results.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert S. Tupper whose telephone number is 571-272-7581. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 6:30 AM - 4:00 PM (first Fri off).

Art Unit: 2627

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert S Tupper Primary Examiner Art Unit 2627

rst